



**YOLO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT
ORGANIZATION MEETING**

02/15/2022 03:30 PM

YOLO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION - Woodland Conference Room - 1280
Santa Anita Court, Suite 120, Woodland, CA 95776 &
ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCING
Open Session - 3:30 PM

AGENDA

The Yolo County Office of Education's vision is to be a model of excellence in educational service, innovation, and impact.



Scan the above QR code with your phone to view this meeting agenda on your phone.

BOARD MEMBERS

Melissa Moreno, President

Tico Zendejas

Elizabeth Esquivel

Carol Souza Cole

Shelton Yip

This meeting is being agendized to allow Board members, staff and the public to participate in a hybrid meeting via in person at the Yolo County Office of Education and by Zoom video conferencing. If attendees join the meeting in person, please adhere to the COVID-19 state-mandated guidance which includes social distancing and wearing masks.

Webinar option for public to view the meeting:

OPTION 1: COMPUTER: Using a computer or Mobile Phone:

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://ycoe.zoom.us/j/92308139535>

(<https://simbli.eboardsolutions.com/SU/kgznYHu817NfnXtt5vf3Qg==>)

OPTION 2: PHONE: Using a traditional phone or cell phone:

Or One tap mobile :

US: +16699006833,,92308139535# or +13462487799,,92308139535#

Or Telephone:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 669 900 6833 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099

Webinar ID: 923 0813 9535

Further instructions on how to submit your public comments can be found in the *Public Comment* section of this agenda.

1. OPENING PROCEDURES	6
1. Call to Order and Roll Call	7
2. Pledge of Allegiance	8
3. Approval of Agenda	9
Motion to approve Agenda.	
1. Public Comment	10
This item is placed on the agenda for the purpose of providing visitors the opportunity to address the Board on any item(s) of business that does not appear on the formal agenda.	

Visitors may also request recognition from the chairperson, to address the Board concerning an item on the agenda by completing the form provided at the door or following the public comment instructions below:

The Board reserves the right to establish a time limit on these discussions, or to refer them to the next regular meeting for further deliberation.

For those individuals who wish to make a public comment, please do so in the following manner:

- Via Zoom, press the "raise a hand" button during the public comment section of the meeting or state in the chat that you would like to make a comment and you will be unmuted.
-
- Before the meeting by google form:

http://bit.ly/Board_Comments

Please submit your Google form by the Board meeting date. A moderator for the meeting will read your comments for the record.

- In person at the Yolo County Office of Education, 1280 Santa Anita Court, Suite 120 in the Woodland Conference Room. To address the Board concerning an item on the agenda, please complete the form provided at the door. Please wear a mask and adhere to social distancing rules.
-

- By phone:

1. Call the Zoom phone number and enter the webinar ID for the meeting you wish to make public comment.
2. Meeting information and phone number can be found at: <https://www.ycoe.org>
(<https://simbli.eboardsolutions.com/SU/FINjDWJ0mTQA5kpluss8VSV4A==>)
3. When the Chair calls for the item on which you wish to speak on, press *9 to raise a hand and *6 to unmute. Speakers will be called on by the last four digits of their phone number. Phone numbers in their entirety will be visible online while speakers are speaking.
4. When prompted, please state your name for the record and provide your public comment.
5. You will have the allotted time given by the Chair to provide your comments. Once your time has ended, you will be moved out of the queue and your phone will be muted.
6. Participants who wish to speak on other items on the Agenda or for other comment periods please press *9 as above.

Comments may not exceed three (3) minutes.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

12

These items are expected to be routine and non-controversial. They will be acted upon by the Board at one time without discussion unless a Trustee or citizen requests that an item(s) be removed for discussion and separate consideration. In that case the designated item(s) will be considered following approval of the remaining items:

Approval of Minutes:

a. January 11, 2022

1. A PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD BY THE YOLO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION (COMMITTEE) TO RECEIVE COMMENTS FROM PARENTS, TEACHERS, MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY, AND BARGAINING UNIT LEADERS REGARDING THE ADOPTION OF REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION TRUSTEE AREAS BASED UPON THE 2020 CENSUS. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC HEARING, THE COMMITTEE MAY TAKE ACTION ON ADOPTION OF THE REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE TRUSTEE AREAS

22

3. Discussion and Possible Action

23

1. Review and Possible Approval of Resolution for Redistricting on Yolo COE Redistricting

Maps 

24

The Board to consider adoption of one (1) proposed map and resolution at this meeting. Approved changes to the Yolo COE trustee area map would take effect for the November 2022 election (and its associated primaries).

4. ADJOURNMENT

53

AGENDA PACKETS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

- Four calendar days prior to the meeting, a full Board packet is available for review at the office of the Yolo County Office of Education Reception Desk, 1280 Santa Anita Court, Suite #100, Woodland (8:00 a.m. - 5 p.m., Monday through Friday - excluding County Office of Education holidays).
- Agenda documents distributed to the Board less than 72 hours before the meeting will be made available at the office of the Yolo County Office of Education Reception Desk, 1280 Santa Anita Court, Suite #100, Woodland (8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday - excluding County Office of Education holidays). [Government Code section 54957.5]
- Board Agendas are posted outside the YCOE Administrative Office building at 1280 Santa Anita Court, Suite #100 and #120, in weather-protected glass cases.
- Four (4) calendar days prior to the meeting, a full Board packet is available for review on the Yolo County Office of Education website: www.ycoe.org
- The Yolo County Office of Education does not discriminate against persons with disabilities and is an accessible facility. Persons with disabilities who wish to attend this meeting and require assistance in order to participate should contact the Executive Assistant to the Superintendent at (530) 668-3702 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. Language translation services and American Sign Language (ASL) interpreters will be provided with a minimum notice of three (3) business days prior to the meeting.



1. OPENING PROCEDURES



1. 1. Call to Order and Roll Call



1. 2. Pledge of Allegiance

1. 3. Approval of Agenda

Recommendation

Motion to approve Agenda.

1. 3. 1. Public Comment

Quick Summary / Abstract

This item is placed on the agenda for the purpose of providing visitors the opportunity to address the Board on any item(s) of business that does not appear on the formal agenda.

Visitors may also request recognition from the chairperson, to address the Board concerning an item on the agenda by completing the form provided at the door or following the public comment instructions below:

The Board reserves the right to establish a time limit on these discussions, or to refer them to the next regular meeting for further deliberation.

For those individuals who wish to make a public comment, please do so in the following manner:

- Via Zoom, press the "raise a hand" button during the public comment section of the meeting or state in the chat that you would like to make a comment and you will be unmuted.
-
- Before the meeting by google form:

http://bit.ly/Board_Comments

Please submit your Google form by the Board meeting date. A moderator for the meeting will read your comments for the record.

- In person at the Yolo County Office of Education, 1280 Santa Anita Court, Suite 120 in the Woodland Conference Room. To address the Board concerning an item on the agenda, please complete the form provided at the door. Please wear a mask and adhere to social distancing rules.
-
- By phone:
 1. Call the Zoom phone number and enter the webinar ID for the meeting you wish to make public comment.
 2. Meeting information and phone number can be found at: <https://www.ycoe.org> (<https://simbli.eboardsolutions.com/SU/FINjDWJ0mTQA5kpluss8VSV4A==>)
 3. When the Chair calls for the item on which you wish to speak on, press *9 to raise a hand and *6 to unmute. Speakers will be called on by the last four digits of their phone number. Phone numbers in their entirety will be visible online while speakers are speaking.
 4. When prompted, please state your name for the record and provide your public comment.
 5. You will have the allotted time given by the Chair to provide your comments. Once your time has ended, you will be moved out of the queue and your phone will be muted.
 6. Participants who wish to speak on other items on the Agenda or for other comment periods please press *9 as above.



Comments may not exceed three (3) minutes.

2. CONSENT AGENDA





Quick Summary / Abstract

These items are expected to be routine and non-controversial. They will be acted upon by the Board at one time without discussion unless a Trustee or citizen requests that an item(s) be removed for discussion and separate consideration. In that case the designated item(s) will be considered following approval of the remaining items:

Approval of Minutes:

a. January 11, 2022

Supporting Documents

-  1-11-22 County Committee Minutes
-  Public Comment County Committee 1-11-22
-  220111 Rafferty to YCCSDO
-  Letter on redistricting from D. Huerta Latino Democratic Club

YOLO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
Regular Meeting: January 11, 2022
MINUTES

1.0 OPENING PROCEDURES

- 1.1 Call to Order and Roll Call. The Yolo County Board of Education met on January 11, 2022 at 6:02 p.m. in a hybrid Special meeting session in person and on a Zoom conference call. Board Members on Zoom: Tico Zendejas, Shelton Yip and Carol Souza Cole via Zoom. Melissa Moreno was absent. Vice President Tico Zendejas presided. Superintendent Garth Lewis was present. (Roll Call held). Susan Miller, Demographer & Senior Associate Director at Cooperative Strategies attended.
- 1.2 Pledge of Allegiance
- 1.3 Approval of Agenda.
Superintendent Lewis stated that trustees would like to have the entire Board in attendance when voting on this item and one trustee is absent today. He suggested that the Board take public comment and decide how to move forward from there. The trustees in attendance agreed with his suggestion.

Motion to approve agenda and listen to public comment with a decision on how to move forward to be made after public comment.

MOTION: Yip **SECOND:** Souza Cole **AYES:** Souza Cole,
Yip, Zendejas **NOES:** None **ABSENT:** Moreno

Roll Call

Souza Cole - AYE

Yip - AYE

Zendejas – AYE

- 1.4 Public Comment.
Maria Grijalva, Latino Information and Resource Center gave the following public comment:

Thank you for this opportunity to speak. This is Maria Grijalva from West Sacramento and I didn't get an opportunity to tell you that I run a small non-profit and it's called Latino Information and Resource Center where my primary clients are monolingual Spanish speakers and I do a tremendous amount of advocacy and voter registration for U.S. citizens and I even brought district elections to Washington Unified School District (WUSD). Dr. Zendejas you might not be familiar with that and to the City Council and I have lately been participating with the San Juan USD transition from At-large to District elections so I am familiar with not so much redistricting but switching from At-large to District elections so the purpose of redistricting is to ensure we have equal representation. We have as a

guide Education Code 1002 and as Maria Garcia and Scott Rafferty previously commented that the northern part of West Sacramento is prominently Latino so by having Trustee Area district 3 come into West Sacramento that is cutting into an important Latino voice in West Sacramento so that is not ok and it cuts into the northwestern end of Woodland which is where I am at this moment so if you really think about it the southern areas of WUSD have similar demographics and land use with Davis USD so grouping these populations would appear to be more in compliance with the Education Code. I had the privilege of speaking with Susan Miller at length yesterday and I want to thank her for that since she took time to speak to me when I went to San Juan USD where the demographer listed socio-economic interests and streets in common but kept neighborhoods together and streets that separated neighborhoods like housing but just using racial metrics isn't enough. I am glad you aren't going to make decision today and whatever decision you make ultimately, I accept that ...that's way it is. Thank you for this opportunity to speak.

Written Public Comments are attached to this document.

The Board decided that since President Moreno was absent and not able to discuss this Board item that they would prefer to move forward on this issue when the full Board is present. Vice President Zendejas recommended that the meeting be adjourned and rescheduled.

Motion to adjourn this meeting and carryover the agenda items to the next scheduled meeting in February 2022.

MOTION: Souza Cole **SECOND:** Yip **AYES:** Souza Cole, Yip, Zendejas **NOES:** None **ABSENT:** Moreno

Roll Call

Souza Cole - AYE

Yip - AYE

Zendejas – AYE

Trustee Yip commented to Ms. Susan Miller, Demographer & Senior Associate Director at Cooperative Strategies to please take the public comments into consideration when we come back in February to approve the maps for redistricting.

4.0 **ADJOURNMENT.** The meeting adjourned at 6:12 p.m.

MOTION: Souza Cole **SECOND:** Yip **AYES:** Souza Cole, Yip, Zendejas **NOES:** None **ABSENT:** Moreno

Roll Call

Souza Cole - AYE

Yip - AYE

Zendejas – AYE

Garth Lewis, Superintendent

1/11/2022- Scott Rafferty - Public hearing on redistricting

I have submitted a letter (letter attached to minutes) expressing concern about the division of the high-Latino areas in the northern portion of Washington USD. WUSD is larger than your ideal trustee area, but please consider alternatives splitting the district in the south, where the demographics are similar to Davis USD. You should be able to create a second Latino influence area. Generally, you should provide a more explanation for the "concepts" behind your demographer's scenarios. It is not appropriate to provide so much racial data with no other socio-economics. Use an equitable lens when you create these areas, so the needs of disadvantaged communities are adequately represented. Take your time, because you may need voter approval to adjust these boundaries before 2032.

1/11/2022 - Maria Garcia - Mapa de Distrito de Washington Unified

Hola buenas tardes, mi nombre es Maria Garcia. El mapa 2a divide al distrito de Washington Unified School District. Esto afecta a los Latinos por que reduce la cantidad de votantes Latinos. El Mapa #1 no toca al Distrito #1. Por favor no afecten a los votantes Latinos de West Sacramento. Gracias.

Hello Unified, Good afternoon, my name is Maria Garcia. The map 2A divides the district from Washington Unified School District. This affects Latinos because it reduces the number of Latinos Voters. Map #1 does not touch District #1. Please do not affect the Latino voters of West Sacramento. Thank you.

Letter received on 1/11/22 from the Dolores Huerta Latino Democratic Club (attached to minutes)

SCOTT J. RAFFERTY

ATTORNEY AT LAW

1913 WHITECLIFF COURT
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596

(202)-380-5525
RAFFERTY@GMAIL.COM

January 11, 2022

Yolo County Committee on School District
Organization
c/o Garth Lewis, Secretary
1280 Santa Anita Ct
Woodland CA 95776

Dear Members of the County Committee:

I was asked to review Scenario 2A and other scenarios for your redistricted trustee areas. While I have not had an opportunity to do a detailed demographic analysis or to propose an alternative map, I am concerned that the maps being proposed do not comply with the statutory mandate. Given the statutory standards, the demographer should present a map of the county's school districts. The unexplained division of Washington Unified School District is particularly problematic. Preserving all of WUSD in trustee area 1 would enable more of Woodland to be kept together and would not diminish the share of Latino voters in trustee area 3. Coincidentally, making these boundary changes does not pair any incumbents.

I know this process is challenging and sometimes tedious, but this may be a situation where you should take some time to consider other ways to divide WUSD. You have until early July, and any subsequent change before 2032 may involve waivers or voter approval.

The demographer interprets any 10% variation in population as being acceptable. 10% is a benchmark proposed by the Supreme Court as meeting constitutional requirements for local jurisdictions. A compelling reason is needed to exceed this guideline, but it is not a safe harbor. The state can also impose stricter limits. By clearly restricting what factors can justify variation, Section 1002 differs from the constitutional requirement in a way that can demand greater equality.

Using 2020 census data, the current trustee areas do vary by more than 10%, but even if they didn't, the county committee could not ignore redistricting (as some attorneys routinely suggest). The law says the county committee SHALL adjust them after each census.

The Voting Rights Act requires equal influence for minority neighborhoods in defining communities of interest, which are the primary building blocks for trustee areas. Minority population and citizens of voting age are one metric, but the standard

needs to consider actual political effectiveness (which depends on turnout and economic status) and then to harmonize the minority district with compact areas that respect actual neighborhoods. Your demographer did an excellent job in Mt Diablo USD, creating a distinct Latino influence area by considering economics and immigration status, not just race. It's unfortunate in my view to present three racial metrics, and no other socio-economic data. The demographer's presentation doesn't explain how it uses these data to ensure that minorities enjoy equal influence.

Section 1002 has two separate mandates. County board boundaries must coincide with school district boundaries "insofar as possible."¹¹ Second, population must be "as nearly equal ... as may be" except that consideration may be given to these factors: (1) Topography; (2) Geography; (3) Cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory; (4) Community of interests of the trustee areas. Although community-of-interest is listed last, it is almost always the most critical. Incumbents are normally separated geographically based on the prior trustee area boundaries. Like other candidates, they may advocate for communities of interest or other permitted factors to support boundaries that benefit them. But your demographer errs in suggesting that the statute authorizes "respecting incumbency, if possible" as a specific factor to justify the overall variance in population.

The existing boundaries are not entitled to a great deal of weight. Topography and geography do not change, and the boundaries approved a decade ago presumably still meet the territorial criteria of cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness. But communities of interest, especially those based on socio-economics, ethnicity, and other distinctions (such as language proficiency, education, housing stability), can change dramatically and need to be considered anew every ten years. They critically affect how neighborhoods differ in the needs and values that your board should represent. Your demographer provides no information on any socio-economic measure, except for ethnicity. This focus creates an unnecessary appearance that may cause some to suspect racial gerrymandering.

The scenarios state no specific themes that might help interpret how they reflect communities of interest. The presentation does offer detailed geographic descriptions of each difference among the scenarios – and only two of them even refer to respect for the integrity of any community of interest. Three actually describe affected areas as "portions" that *divide* a city or other community of interest. Absent some other authorized factor (*e.g.*, increasing compactness), Section 1002 does not permit such random definition of trustee areas.

	COI?	2	2A	3	4
Portions of West Sacramento	no	x	x	x	x
Large geographic area from TA 3 to 2	no				x
Portions of Winters	no				x
Monument Hills	yes	x	x	x	
Area S or E of Monument Hills	no			x	
2 census blocks near I-80	no			x	
Southwest portion of TA 2	no		x		
All of Winters Jt SD into TA 1	yes		x		

School district boundaries are not only important communities of interest for purposes of the County Board, but they are specifically protected by 1002(d). Following them is not an absolute requirement. Concentrating minority voting strength may be a countervailing factor, especially in counties where a separate body has jurisdiction over district organization (which is not the case in Yolo). Other communities of interest may justify departing from these lines. But deviations should be explained. It is troubling that the only claim to have considered district boundaries is incorrect. Winters JUSD is about as far from trustee area 1 as possible.


I have had limited time to prepare these comments, but hope that they are helpful. Please contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely,



MAP OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS attached

SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN YOLO COUNTY



cc: Supt
board

DOLORES HUERTA LATINO DEMOCRATIC CLUB

335 Woodhaven Place
West Sacramento, CA 95605
916 277-5504



January 11, 2022

Yolo County Committee on School District Organization
c/o Garth Lewis, Secretary
1280 Santa Anita Ct
Woodland CA 95776

Dear Members of the County Committee:

Broderick, and Bryte in West Sacramento should be in a common district with the rest of the WUSD. We support the redistricting proposals for the YCBOE from Scott Rafferty.

I am the President of the Dolores Huerta Latino Democratic Club [DHLDC] located in West Sacramento, California. We participated in the redistricting advocating for a united Yolo in Assembly and Senate districting due to community of interest. Some of our DHLDC members have also either been Plaintiffs or recruited Plaintiffs in support of single member district litigation in West Sacramento and several other school districts. We are very committed to voting rights and fair districting for minority neighborhoods.

We believe that West Sacramento residents are best served by placing the present West Sacramento School District in a single contiguous County BOE district. We believe that this will also allow Woodland to remain more intact as well.

West Sacramento City councilwoman Norma Alcala who grew up in Broderick/Bryte and currently lives there joins us in this request.

Respectfully yours,

Carlos Alcala
President Dolores Huerta Latino Democratic Club of Yolo County
President Chicano Latino Caucus, California Democratic Party
Resident Broderick/ Bryte

Norma Alcala
City Councilwoman West Sacramento
Former School board President WUSD
Former President County School Board Association
Resident Broderick/Bryte

Cc: Jesse Ortiz

2. 1. A PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD BY THE YOLO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION (COMMITTEE) TO RECEIVE COMMENTS FROM PARENTS, TEACHERS, MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY, AND BARGAINING UNIT LEADERS REGARDING THE ADOPTION OF REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION TRUSTEE AREAS BASED UPON THE 2020 CENSUS. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC HEARING, THE COMMITTEE MAY TAKE ACTION ON ADOPTION OF THE REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE TRUSTEE AREAS

3. Discussion and Possible Action

3. 1. Review and Possible Approval of Resolution for Redistricting on Yolo COE Redistricting Maps




Description

Attached is the power point presentation and the new maps for review and action.

Recommendation

The Board to consider adoption of one (1) proposed map and resolution at this meeting. Approved changes to the Yolo COE trustee area map would take effect for the November 2022 election (and its associated primaries).

Supporting Documents

-  YoloCOE_PostMap2_AllScenarios02152022
-  Resolution for Redistricting - YCOE - County Committee
-  YoloCOE_PostMap2_AllScenarios02152022

Contact Person

David Soldani, Partner, Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo and Susan Miller, Senior Associate Director, Plan & Fund, Cooperative Strategies will present this item.



▶ **TRUSTEE AREA
SCENARIOS**

YOLO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

FEBRUARY 15, 2022


 **COOPERATIVE
STRATEGIES**
ASSESS • PLAN • FUND • BUILD

▶ **DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS**
2020 CENSUS DATA

- Validated redistricting data was released by the California Department of Finance on September 20.
- This data showed substantial changes in the area served by Yolo County Office of Education from 2010 to 2020, including overall population growth of 15,909 (8%)

Population (Within District)	Total Population			
	2010 Census	2020 Census	Total Change	Percent Change
Trustee Area 1	39,275	44,997	5,722	14.6%
Trustee Area 2	41,426	44,854	3,428	8.3%
Trustee Area 3	38,758	43,262	4,504	11.6%
Trustee Area 4	40,518	41,685	1,167	2.9%
Trustee Area 5	39,477	40,565	1,088	2.8%
Total Population	199,454	215,363	15,909	8.0%

▶ CURRENT TRUSTEE AREAS



► CURRENT TRUSTEE AREAS

Census 2020 Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Total Variance:	10.3%
----------------------------------	---------	-----------------------------	--------	-----------------	-------

TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5		
	TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population		44,997	28,359	44,854	34,508	43,262	26,608	41,685	29,007	40,565	26,704
Population Variance		4.5%	N/A	4.1%	N/A	0.4%	N/A	-3.2%	N/A	-5.8%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino		29.5%	19.8%	23.9%	20.4%	42.8%	32.2%	16.8%	11.5%	52.7%	39.3%
White		41.8%	55.5%	49.9%	56.0%	41.0%	55.4%	50.2%	63.1%	34.2%	50.7%
Black/African American		5.0%	6.0%	2.4%	2.1%	1.8%	2.8%	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native		0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian		14.3%	11.7%	16.9%	17.5%	8.7%	6.5%	22.9%	18.9%	6.2%	6.2%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other		0.7%	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.7%	N/A	0.4%	N/A
Two or More Races		7.2%	5.5%	5.5%	3.7%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.2%	3.8%	1.5%

*Total Population; Source: Redistricting Database for California, Published by Statewide Database on September 20, 2021

**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, 2015-2019 Data

▶ CONSIDERATIONS IN TRUSTEE AREAS



Each area shall contain nearly equal number of inhabitants



Follow man-made and natural geographic features, as much as possible



Drawn to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act



Respect incumbency, if possible



Compact and contiguous, as much as possible



Other local considerations




Respect communities of interest, as much as possible

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS

SCENARIO 1


9.6%

- Scenario 1 was drawn to move the minimum population necessary to bring Yolo COE back within the legally required 10% population variance.
- Population was moved from Trustee Area 2 to Trustee Area 5 (least populated trustee area) to reduce the overall variance.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 1 aligns with current demographics.



▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 1

9.6%



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 1

Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	9.6%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------


TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	44,997	28,359	44,336	34,208	43,473	26,751	41,685	29,007	40,872	26,861
Population Variance	4.5%	N/A	2.9%	N/A	0.9%	N/A	-3.2%	N/A	-5.1%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	29.5%	19.8%	24.0%	20.5%	42.7%	32.1%	16.8%	11.5%	52.4%	39.0%
White	41.8%	55.5%	49.6%	55.8%	41.1%	55.5%	50.2%	63.1%	34.6%	50.9%
Black/African American	5.0%	6.0%	2.4%	2.1%	1.8%	2.8%	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.3%	11.7%	17.0%	17.5%	8.7%	6.4%	22.9%	18.9%	6.2%	6.3%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	7.2%	5.5%	5.6%	3.8%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.2%	3.8%	1.5%

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS

SCENARIO 2

5.9%


- Scenario 2 was drawn to create a lower population variance than Scenario 1.
- The portion of Monument Hills that is currently in Trustee Area 3 is moved to Trustee Area 5 so that all of Monument Hills falls within Trustee Area 5.
- The southwest portion of Trustee Area 2 is moved to Trustee Area 5.
- An area of West Sacramento that is in the northeast corner of Trustee Area 1 is moved to Trustee Area 3.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 2 aligns with current demographics.



Sources: Est., USGS, NOAA

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 2

5.9%



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 2

Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	5.9%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------

TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	44,123	27,653	44,230	34,051	43,298	26,802	41,685	29,007	42,027	27,673
Population Variance	2.4%	N/A	2.7%	N/A	0.5%	N/A	-3.2%	N/A	-2.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	29.6%	19.7%	23.3%	19.7%	42.5%	32.0%	16.8%	11.5%	52.6%	39.6%
White	41.6%	55.8%	50.2%	56.5%	40.9%	54.9%	50.2%	63.1%	34.5%	50.6%
Black/African American	5.0%	5.8%	2.4%	2.1%	1.9%	3.2%	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.8%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.3%	11.8%	17.1%	17.7%	8.9%	6.6%	22.9%	18.9%	6.1%	6.0%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	7.2%	5.6%	5.6%	3.8%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.2%	3.9%	1.4%


▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS

SCENARIO 2A


5.7%

- Scenario 2A is a modified version of Scenario 2, drawn to bring all of Winters JUSD into Trustee Area 5.
- This required Trustee Area 2 to move further north into portions of Woodland.
- An area of West Sacramento that is in the northeast corner of Trustee Area 1 is moved to Trustee Area 3.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 2A aligns with current demographics.

Current Trustee Areas
 Area Outside District
Conceptual Trustee Areas
 Trustee Area No. 1
 Trustee Area No. 2
 Trustee Area No. 3
 Trustee Area No. 4
 Trustee Area No. 5



▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 2A



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 2A

Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	5.7%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------


TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	44,123	27,653	42,498	33,019	43,298	26,802	41,685	29,007	43,759	28,705
Population Variance	2.4%	N/A	-1.3%	N/A	0.5%	N/A	-3.2%	N/A	1.6%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	29.6%	19.7%	21.1%	18.1%	42.5%	32.0%	16.8%	11.5%	53.6%	40.8%
White	41.6%	55.8%	51.0%	56.9%	40.9%	54.9%	50.2%	63.1%	34.3%	50.3%
Black/African American	5.0%	5.8%	2.6%	2.2%	1.9%	3.2%	2.2%	2.6%	1.6%	1.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.3%	11.8%	18.2%	18.7%	8.9%	6.6%	22.9%	18.9%	5.4%	5.3%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	7.2%	5.6%	5.6%	3.9%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.2%	3.9%	1.4%

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS

SCENARIO 3


4.2%

- Scenario 3 was drawn to create a lower population variance than Scenario 2.
- The portion of Monument Hills that is currently in Trustee Area 3 is moved to Trustee Area 5 so that all of Monument Hills falls within Trustee Area 5. The portion moved from Trustee Area 3 to Trustee Area 5, which extends further east and south than in Scenario 2.
- The northwest portion of Trustee Area 2 is moved to Trustee Area 5.
- An area of West Sacramento that is in the northeast corner of Trustee Area 1 is moved to Trustee Area 3.
- Moves two densely populated (>1,000 total population) census blocks near I-80 from Trustee Area 2 to Trustee Area 4.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 3 aligns with current demographics.



▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 3

4.2%



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 3

Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	4.2%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------


TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	44,123	27,653	43,085	33,083	43,078	26,698	42,767	29,993	42,310	27,759
Population Variance	2.4%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	-0.7%	N/A	-1.8%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	29.6%	19.7%	24.0%	20.4%	42.6%	32.0%	17.0%	12.0%	51.7%	38.5%
White	41.6%	55.8%	49.8%	56.1%	40.8%	54.8%	49.9%	62.5%	35.3%	51.5%
Black/African American	5.0%	5.8%	2.4%	2.1%	1.9%	3.2%	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.3%	11.8%	16.8%	17.8%	8.9%	6.7%	22.9%	18.5%	6.2%	6.3%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	7.2%	5.6%	5.6%	3.4%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.7%	3.9%	1.5%

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS

SCENARIO 4


0.2%

- Scenario 4 was drawn to create as close to 0% population variance as reasonably possible.
- A portion of Winters is moved from Trustee Area 2 to Trustee Area 5.
- An area of West Sacramento that is moved from Trustee Area 1 is moved to Trustee Area 4.
- A large geographical area is moved from Trustee Area 3 to Trustee Area 2.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 4 aligns to current demographics.



▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 4

0.2%



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 4


Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	0.2%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------

TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	43,089	27,530	43,008	33,499	43,098	26,592	43,091	29,460	43,077	28,105
Population Variance	0.0%	N/A	-0.2%	N/A	0.1%	N/A	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	28.9%	19.7%	22.3%	20.1%	42.8%	32.2%	17.6%	11.5%	52.9%	39.0%
White	42.1%	55.3%	50.2%	55.5%	41.0%	55.4%	49.8%	63.4%	34.5%	51.3%
Black/African American	4.8%	6.1%	2.5%	2.1%	1.8%	2.8%	2.5%	2.6%	1.6%	1.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.6%	11.9%	17.9%	18.3%	8.7%	6.5%	22.2%	18.5%	5.9%	5.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	7.3%	5.4%	5.7%	3.8%	4.1%	2.4%	6.7%	3.4%	3.9%	1.5%

▶ CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS SCENARIO 5


8.1%

- Scenario 5 reduced the Yolo COE variance to 8.1%
- All of Winters USD is included in Trustee Area 2.
- A portion of Area 5 was moved south into Trustee Area 2.
- A small but densely populated part of Trustee Area 2 moved to the east, into Trustee Area 4.
- Demographic balance of population between trustee areas in Scenario 5 aligns with current demographics.



► CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS
SCENARIO 5

8.1%



► **DEMOGRAPHICS**
SCENARIO 5

Total Population:	215,363	Ideal Trustee Area Size:	43,073	Variance:	8.1%
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------------

TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	44,123	27,653	44,183	34,289	43,298	26,802	43,049	29,928	40,710	26,514
Population Variance	2.4%	N/A	2.6%	N/A	0.5%	N/A	-0.1%	N/A	-5.5%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	29.6%	19.7%	24.0%	20.4%	42.5%	32.0%	17.2%	11.7%	52.6%	39.7%
White	41.6%	55.8%	50.0%	56.4%	40.9%	54.9%	50.1%	63.2%	34.3%	50.0%
Black/African American	5.0%	5.8%	2.4%	1.8%	1.9%	3.2%	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%	1.8%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Asian	14.3%	11.8%	16.6%	17.3%	8.9%	6.6%	22.6%	18.4%	6.4%	6.5%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
Other	0.7%	N/A	0.5%	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.7%	N/A	0.4%	N/A
Two or More Races	7.2%	5.6%	5.6%	3.8%	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	3.1%	3.8%	1.5%

▶ TRUSTEE AREA PROCESS

DATE	ACTIVITY
October 26, 2021	Board Meeting: Presentation on Evaluation of Existing Voting Areas Receive Input on Potential Adjustments
November	Cooperative Strategies prepares potential maps of adjusted Voting Areas
November	Draft Maps Posted to Yolo County Office of Education Website
December 14, 2021	Board Meeting: Review Initial Scenarios
December – January	Cooperative Strategies revises scenarios based on Board and Public Input
January 11, 2022	Board Meeting: Review Maps and Conduct Public Hearing
January	Cooperative Strategies revises scenarios based on Board and Public Input
February 15, 2022	Board Meeting: Review Maps and Conduct Public Hearing Board Selection of Map
	Cooperative Strategies sends Final Trustee Areas Map to the County Registrars of Voters.



QUESTIONS

RESOLUTION NO. _____

**RESOLUTION OF THE YOLO COUNTY COMMITTEE
ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
APPROVING ADJUSTED TRUSTEE AREA BOUNDARIES FOR THE
YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION**

WHEREAS, pursuant to Education Code section 1000, the Yolo County Board of Education (“BOE”) uses a by-trustee area election process to elect its governing board members; and

WHEREAS, Education Code section 1002(b) requires County Committees on School District Organization (“County Committee”) to approve adjusted trustee area boundaries for the County Board of Education following each decennial federal census using population figures validated by the Population Research Unit of the Department of Finance; and

WHEREAS, the adjustments shall ensure that the population of each trustee area is proportional pursuant to Education Code section 1002(a); and

WHEREAS, the County Committee has reviewed several adjusted trustee area boundary plans and now wishes to take action to approve a final adjusted map plan pursuant to Education Code section 1002(b); and

WHEREAS, the County Committee and its consultants have thoroughly reviewed Plan ___ and has insured that it meets the population criteria set forth in Education Code section 1002(a).

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Yolo County Committee on School District Organization as follows:

1. That the above recitals are true and correct.
2. That the County Committee hereby approves Plan ___.
3. That the Chief Executive Officer of the County Committee and/or his designee take all actions necessary to implement the adjusted trustee area boundaries prior to the next regularly scheduled Yolo County Office of Education Governing Board Member election.

ADOPTED, SIGNED AND APPROVED this ___ day of _____, 2021.

President of the Yolo County Committee
on School District Organization

I, _____, Clerk of the Yolo County Committee on School District Organization, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the County Committee at a meeting of said Committee held on the ____ day of _____, 2021, and that it was so adopted by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Clerk of the Yolo County Committee
on School District Organization

EXHIBIT "A"

REVISED TRUSTEE AREA PLAN ADJUSTED POST-2020 CENSUS

4. ADJOURNMENT
